

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Zhenrong Qian, Heng Eric Su, Lee Alan DeWitt

Serial No.: 10/082,996

Group Art Unit: 1623

Filed: February 26, 2002

Examiner: N/A

For: PREPARATION OF POLYARYL CARBOXYLIC ACID

Box Missing Parts  
Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

Sir:

SEP 28 2004

Response to Notice to File Missing Parts

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In response to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application –  
mailed March 26, 2002, please find the following:

1. A copy of the Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application;
2. A petition for a four-month extension of time;
3. A fully-executed Declaration for Patent Application; and
4. An amendment adding an abstract.

Please charge \$130.00 to Deposit Account No. 01-1125 in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.16(e).

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence (along with any papers referred to as being attached or enclosed) is, on the date shown below, being:

☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.


*Ingrid Clark*  
Signature

Ingrid Clark  
(type or print name of person certifying)

Date: 9/20/02

Account No. 01-1125. A triplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,



Steve Driscoll  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No.: 37,564

Date: 6/19/2002

Honeywell International Inc.  
101 Columbia Road  
P.O. Box 2245  
Morristown, NJ 07962  
Tel. (973) 455-2013  
Fax (973) 455-6199

SEP 22 2004  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICECOMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING RECEIPT DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER
10/082,996	02/26/2002	Zhenrong Qian	P24,583-A USA

CONFIRMATION NO. 7036

## FORMALITIES LETTER



\*OC000000007717237\*

Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP  
2600 Aramark Tower  
1101 Market Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950

Date Mailed: 03/26/2002

## NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS OF NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION

FILED UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(b)

Filing Date Granted

RECEIVED

SEP 28 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

An application number and filing date have been accorded to this application. The item(s) indicated below, however, are missing. Applicant is given **TWO MONTHS** from the date of this Notice within which to file all required items and pay any fees required below to avoid abandonment. Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- The oath or declaration is missing.  
*A properly signed oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63, identifying the application by the above Application Number and Filing Date, is required.*
- To avoid abandonment, a late filing fee or oath or declaration surcharge as set forth in 37 CFR 1.16(l) of \$130 for a non-small entity, must be submitted with the missing items identified in this letter.
- The balance due by applicant is \$ 130.

The application is informal since it does not comply with the regulations for the reason(s) indicated below.

The required item(s) identified below must be timely submitted to avoid abandonment:

- An abstract was not provided for this application. An abstract of the technical disclosure is required under 37 CFR 1.72(b).

*A copy of this notice **MUST** be returned with the reply.*

Customer Service Center  
Initial Patent Examination Division (703) 308-1202

PART 2 - COPY TO BE RETURNED WITH RESPONSE



MEMORANDUM

**TO:** S&L File No. P24,583-A USA  
**FROM:** DL  
**DATE:** September 1, 2004  
**RE:** Telephone call to PTO

---

Although I can not locate a record of this I can recall contacting the PTO to determine the status of this application shortly after receiving the Notice of Incomplete Reply dated October 11, 2002. I was told that the patent had not gone abandoned and that essentially everything was fine. I was told that there was no response necessary on our part. I then checked again maybe six months later or so and again there was no apparent problem. At that point we decided no action was necessary. I do recall writing the above details on a post it note and taking the file into SJD's office to discuss my findings with him although we can not locate it at this time.